

# Children Centres Closure and Transfer of Use Consultation

This report was generated on 24/04/23. Overall 16 respondents completed this questionnaire. The report has been filtered to show the responses for 'All Respondents'.

The following charts are restricted to the top 20 codes.

## Which Centre is your response in relation to?



## Do you support the proposal?



## If no, please explain why you do not support the proposal:

### Please do not include any personal or sensitive information

I think this should be to help young families. There is no toddler or baby groups in Pateley Bridge. It's hard travelling with young children for these groups and is very much needed here in Pateley to create a supportive environment for young families. Antenatal groups are far away for families to travel to. If you don't have transport this means that families are left out without this support. I think it would be good to have supportive groups for children's development for speech, eating sensory development to help children and parents. I found my health visitor so helpful but I feel like sessions face to face much more valuable. Especially with child care costs going off so many more families opting not be able to take there children to nursery so needing the community more so for this purpose and needing extra support to offer their children experiences that they can't experience at home. Pateley is a large community and the fact these services are not here for young families is sad we shouldn't have to travel to find this.

This facility should be kept and should be allowed to go to the original applicants Sutton playgroup to use it as an early years provider , which would be a perfect place and would stop the centre being closed and be used for a very much needed early years facility which will have the scope to expand and provide more services and longer opening hours which they don't have the scope to do at their current facility

**If no, please explain why you do not support the proposal:**

**Please do not include any personal or sensitive information**

Glusburn Community Primary School would like to OBJECT to the renting of South Craven Children’s Centre to a private nursery. The current proposal moves children from one village to another and does not create nursery places. It also puts direct competition on to our school site and the other Glusburn nursery school. The school was assured in April 2022 that North Yorkshire would refuse a PVI when one made an enquiry re. this building but NYCC have then proceeded without consultation or even a courtesy communication with the school. The first time the school were informed about this was 13th February 2023. This is six months after NYCC formulated a lease and agreed terms with the PVI. As per the Nidderdale children’s centre, the school was not made aware that there was the option to take over the children’s centre for reasons other than for nursery provision. Allocations to other schools across the county include extended care, health needs, SEND provision etc...

Emails to the leads at CYPS have been ignored and a formal response to the school’s complaint has not been received and follow up emails ignored. This requires escalation to the LGO. Currently our site shares utilities and we are expected to pay for the children’s centre site and be re-imbursed. Communication to CYPS goes unanswered so this gives us the impression that we will be left to sort it all out. The school has not been assured that we will be treated fairly or equitably and we will therefore not enter into a shared use agreement with a PVI so there is too much to sort out for this consultation to be approved with regards to the PVI allocation aspect.

**Do you have any other comments to make about the proposal?**

**Please do not include any personal or sensitive information (Do you have any other comments to make about the proposal?)**

- The children center should be given to the Private provider that made initial contact with the council
- South craven children’s centre would be wonderful being used as an early years provider. It should be rented to the original applicants Sutton Playgroup who applied for lease of the building.
- Keeping this open for Sutton Playgroup surely would give give enough revenue to make it viable to keep open ?
- I think it would be very positive to see a nursery renting the premises as it looks so sad empty. I think it will be good for the area.
- I think Sutton Playgroup deserve this building as they are having to look for new premises and it would be a great loss to the community if they had to close down. It would be an ideal location and a benefit for all involved and to ensure continuity of care for the children in the local community carries on as they are a brilliant childcare provider.
- I support the use of a private day nursery which I believe Sutton playgroup are fighting for.
- I think the children Centre should be offered to the private provider that first enquired about it (Sutton playgroup)
- It should go to the original provider that started the process.
- It should go to the original provider that starter the process (Sutton playgroup).
- It should go to the original provider that starter the process (Sutton playgroup).
- it should go to the original provider that starter the process (Sutton playgroup)
- This should go back to the original provider, otherwise there will be a shortage of childcare in the area
- I think the centre should be leased to the people with the private nursery. It’s important for young children to be able to access as much education as possible. They need to have a good start in life. Also, it makes sense for the council to be able to recoup money for the building as rent rather than it standing empty and not paying its way.